UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
BARBARA A. BAUMGARTEN,	CV-12 0171
Plaintiff,	C V-12 01/1
-against-	DECLARATION IN OPPOSITION
SUFFOLK COUNTY, SUFFOLK COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT, SUFFOLK COUNTY P.O. LINDA LOLLO, sued herein individually and in her official	(Seybert, J.)
capacity, P.O RAPPERGER, sued herein in his individual and official capacity, P.O Maresca sued herein in his individual and official capacity, Sgt KEARNS of the Suffolk County Police Department sued herein in his individual and official capacity, Town of Brookhaven, and Raymond Negron, sued herein in his individual and official capacities,	(Tomlinson, M.J.)
Defendants.	
Λ	

DAVID H. ARNTSEN, an attorney duly authorized to practice law before the courts of the State of New York, and specifically before the United States District Court of the Eastern District of New York, affirms the following under penalty of perjury:

- 1. I am with the firm of DEVITT SPELLMAN BARRETT, LLP, counsel to the Town of Brookhaven in the referenced matter. I make this Declaration in opposition to the plaintiff's papers entitled "Plaintiff Reply Index Case CV-12-171", and undated, in which the plaintiff also appears to request "a motion of reconsideration" with regard to defendants Brookhaven and Raymond Negron, whom this office represents.
- 2. As the Court is aware, by Order dated July 31, 2013, these defendants were dismissed from this action (DE79).

Case 2:12-cv-00171-JS-AKT Document 89 Filed 02/24/14 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 755

3. By motion filed at DE84, this office moved for partial judgment pursuant to Rule

54(b).

4. It is respectfully submitted to the Court that the plaintiff's continuing

bombardment of the Court with applications that have been made and denied in the past is

further evidence of the need for the entry of partial judgment in favor of Brookhaven and Negron

in order to save them from the need to continuously reply to the frivolous and baseless motion

practice instituted by plaintiff Baumgarten.

5. It is clear that the relief sought by the plaintiff is improper procedurally and

substantively and that she is not entitled to reconsideration at this late date of the Order

dismissing Brookhaven and Negron from the case.

WHEREFORE, it is respectfully submitted that the motion be denied.

Dated: Smithtown, New York February 19, 2014

> _____/S/___ DAVID H. ARNTSEN